

Stakeholder details

Initial questions

To help us better understand your situation and the context of your feedback please provide us with some details about you. These details will not be published in any summary of the collated feedback from this consultation.

Question A

Are you completing this submission on behalf of an organisation or as an individual?

Your answer:

Organisation

Name of organisation: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

Contact email: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

Myself

Name: Dr Akinsola Akinbiyi

Contact email: [REDACTED]

Question B

If you are completing this submission as an individual, are you:

A registered health practitioner?

Profession: Consultant Psychiatrist

A member of the public?

Other: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

Question C

Would you like your submission to be published?

Yes, publish my submission **with** my name/organisation name

Yes, publish my submission **without** my name/ organisation name

No – **do not** publish my submission

Your responses to the consultation questions

1. Is the content and structure of the draft revised specialist registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and workable?

Yes

2. Is there any content that needs to be changed, added or deleted in the draft revised specialist registration standard?

Yes.

To include a cohort of Australian SIMG's who has general registration and had been working in Australia within the specific specialities in the last 5 to 20 years.

3. Are there any impacts for patients and consumers, particularly vulnerable members of the community that have not been considered in the draft revised specialist registration standard?

None.

4. Are there any impacts for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples that have not been considered in the draft revised specialist registration standard?

None.

5. Are there any other regulatory impacts or costs that have not been identified that the Board needs to consider?

None.

6. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised specialist registration standard?

- To include a cohort of Australian SIMG's who has general registration and had been working in Australia within the specific specialities in the last 5 to 20 years.
- This cohort are unique because they are Australian citizens or permanent residents who at the point of entry into Australian health system had their overseas specialist qualifications thoroughly and meticulously assessed by the specialist college and were validated as comparable (partial or substantial) to the Australian specialist qualifications.
- This cohort fortunately were unable to finish their Fellowship on time due to some reasons, like the specialist colleges streamlining the number of examination trials to 3 times. The AMC examinations does not have any streamline on the number of attempts . Even some of the examinations used previously had been discontinued by the specialist college in favour of work based assessments (WBA).
- This cohort are culturally competent, well-versed, equipped and experienced with the operations of the Australian health system having worked in Australia for many years.
- AHPRA show please consider this cohort of Australian SIMG's in the new fast tracked or expedited pathway. They constitute a sizable number of doctors who are already in the Australian system and grant them specialist recognition also in the expedited specialist recognition pathway.