	Respondent		05.00
<	26	Anonymous	05:08 >
			,,,,,,
1.1	Name *		
	Dr Debra Grav	res	
2.0	Organisation		
	The Royal Coll	lege of Pathologists of Australasia	
3. [	Email address	5 *	
į	generally pub nform the co	Boards and Ahpra publish submissions a plish submissions on our websites to enc ommunity and stakeholders. Please advis ion published. *	ourage discussion and
(	Submission	can be published	
(	Submission	NOT to be published	
		revised regulatory principles reflect the p Council? If not, how could the principles	-
	Yes		

Do the draft revised regulatory principles support Ahpra and the National Boards regulatory decision-making? If not, how could they be improved?			
Yes			
7. Is the content of the draft revised regulatory principles helpful, clear and relevant?			
Yes, in the main			
8. Is there any content that needs to be changed, added or deleted in the draft revised regulatory principles?			
No			

9. Please add any other comments or suggestions for the draft revised regulatory principles.

There is one sentence in point 6, page 7 that is quite clunky: "Our responses are designed to not punish practioners". Suggest "Our responses are not designed to punish practitioners."