

Respondent

< 33 Anonymous >

02:32
Time to complete

1. Name *

Professor Lisa Keay

2. Organisation

School of Optometry and Vision Science, UNSW Sydney

3. Email address *

[REDACTED]

4. The National Boards and Ahpra publish submissions at their discretion. We generally publish submissions on our websites to encourage discussion and inform the community and stakeholders. Please advise us if you do not want your submission published. *

- Submission can be published
- Submission NOT to be published

5. Do the draft revised regulatory principles reflect the policy directions issued by CoAG Health Council? If not, how could the principles be improved?

Yes, the revised principles have addressed the need to ensure that the public is protected and that patients or other bodies are consulted.

6. Do the draft revised regulatory principles support Ahpra and the National Boards regulatory decision-making? If not, how could they be improved?

Yes

7. Is the content of the draft revised regulatory principles helpful, clear and relevant?

Yes, the increased emphasis on the importance of public safety in the regulators work as well as the input from patients is clear.

8. Is there any content that needs to be changed, added or deleted in the draft revised regulatory principles?

Regulatory Principle 6 has been revised to include a statement that one of the purposes of the regulator is to "improve the standard of practice of registered health practitioners". It is unclear how AHPRA would intend to "improve" the standards of practice in this role, as the publication of codes, guidelines or responses to misconduct as a form of deterrence, etc, are generally to maintain the current standards of the profession.

9. Please add any other comments or suggestions for the draft revised regulatory principles.