

Response template for providing feedback to public consultation – draft proposed accreditation standards for paramedicine

This response template is the preferred way to provide your response to the consultation on the **Draft proposed accreditation standards for paramedicine.** Please provide your responses to all or some of the questions in the corresponding text boxes. You do not need to respond to a question if you have no comment.

Making a submission

Please complete this response template and send to <u>accreditationstandards.review@ahpra.gov.au</u> using the subject line 'Feedback on draft proposed accreditation standards for paramedicine.'

Submissions are due by COB on 13 March 2020.

Stakeholder details

Please provide your details in the following table:

Name:	David Reid on behalf of the Paramedicine Team
Organisation Name:	Edith Cowan University

Your responses to the public consultation questions

1.	Does any content need to be added?
No	
2.	Does any content need to be amended?
No	
3.	Are there any potential unintended consequences of the current wording?
Nil a	nticipated
4.	Do the proposed accreditation standards, associated criteria, expected information and explanatory notes indicate clearly what is required for education providers to demonstrate they are producing safe and competent graduates?
Yes	
5.	Do you think education providers will have difficulty in providing evidence (expected information) to meet any of the criteria?
No	
6.	What do you think should be the Accreditation Committee's minimum expectations for education providers to demonstrate adequate quality, quantity, duration and diversity of a student's experience during paramedicine work-integrated learning? (related to standard 3.11)
Academic providers should be able to explain how they monitor the quality, quantity, duration and diversity of student experience during work-integrated learning to ensure it is sufficient to produce graduates who demonstrate the knowledge, skills and professional attributes to safely and competently practise paramedicine.	
The standards would benefit from examples of implementation of formal mechanisms used for monitoring the quality, quantity, duration and diversity of student experience during work-integrated learning.	
7.	Do you have any other general feedback or comments on the proposed standards?
This is a very comprehensive document and the standards are set out well with clearly highlighting what is required to meet each standard. A few minor suggestions as listed above.	