

Response template for providing feedback to public consultation – draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice

This response template is an optional way to provide your response to the public consultation paper for the **Draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice.** Please provide your responses to any of the questions in the corresponding text boxes; you do not need to answer every question if you have no comment.

Making a submission

Please complete this response template and send to <u>medicalradiationconsultation@ahpra.gov.au</u>, using the subject line '*Feedback on draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice*'.

Submissions are due by midday on Friday 26 April 2019.

Stakeholder details

Please provide your details in the following table:

Name:	Georgia Barjaktarovic
Organisation Name:	The Townsville Hospital

Your resp	oonses to	the prelimina	y consultation	questions

1.	Does any content need to be added to any of the documents?
2.	Does any content need to be amended or removed from any of the documents?
Ame	ndments required to Domain 1; Key capability 9. See Question 4 response for further detail.
	Do the key capabilities sufficiently describe the threshold level of professional
	capability required to safely and competently practise as a medical radiation practitioner in a range of contexts and situations?
4.	Do the enabling components sufficiently describe the essential and measurable
	characteristics of threshold professional capability that are necessary for safe and
	competent practice?
resor	e are significant concerns regarding Domain 1; Key capability 9 "Perform magnetic nance imaging (MRI)" in view of the imminent implementation of the MR-linac (MRL) in multiple tion oncology departments across Australia.
	all, the enabling components are focused on diagnostic imaging only, with limited suitability a acquisition and image-guidance use of MR in the radiation therapy treatment setting.
Spec	ifically:
d. Cu	irrent treatment image-guidance MR protocols within the MR are vendor-mandated
	MR protocols are mandated, modifications cannot be made, apart from selecting a different set exam card
f. The	ere will be no post-processing techniques performed by the RTs with the MRL

5. Is the language clear and appropriate? Are there any potential unintended consequences of the current wording?
As per Q4, current wording of Domain 1; Key capability 9 is not appropriate for the MRL.
6. Are there jurisdiction-specific impacts for practitioners, or governments or other stakeholders that the National Board should be aware of, if these capabilities are adopted?
7. Are there implementation issues the National Board should be aware of?
As previously mentioned, the imminent implementation of the MRL technology in Australia does not align with the suggested MR capabilities for radiation therapists; particularly as the use and application of MR-guidance will vary significantly to that of our diagnostic colleagues.
8. Do you have any other general feedback or comments on the proposed draft revised professional capabilities?

Medical Radiation Practice Board of Australia