

Response template for providing feedback to public consultation – draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice

This response template is an optional way to provide your response to the public consultation paper for the **Draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice.** Please provide your responses to any of the questions in the corresponding text boxes; you do not need to answer every question if you have no comment.

Making a submission

Please complete this response template and send to <u>medicalradiationconsultation@ahpra.gov.au</u>, using the subject line '*Feedback on draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice*'.

Submissions are due by midday on Friday 26 April 2019.

Stakeholder details

Please provide your details in the following table:

Name:	Bronwyn Shirley
Organisation Name:	Townsville Cancer Centre

Your responses to the preliminary consultation questions
--

1. Does any content need to be added to any of the documents?	
2. Does any content need to be amended or removed from any of the documents?	
Amendments required to Domain 1; Key capability 9. See Question 4 response for further detail.	
3. Do the key capabilities sufficiently describe the threshold level of professional	
capability required to safely and competently practise as a medical radiation practitioner in a range of contexts and situations?	
4 De the encluing components sufficiently describe the secontial and measurable	
4. Do the enabling components sufficiently describe the essential and measurable characteristics of threshold professional capability that are necessary for safe and	
competent practice?	
There are significant concerns regarding Domain 1; Key capability 9 "Perform magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)" in view of the imminent implementation of the MR-linac (MRL) in multiple radiation oncology departments across Australia.	
Focused on diagnostic imaging only, with limited suitability to the acquisition and image-guidance use of MR in the radiation therapy treatment setting.	
Specifically:	
d. Current treatment image-guidance MR protocols within the MR are vendor-mandated	
e. As MR protocols are mandated, modifications cannot be made, apart from selecting a different pre-set exam card	
f. There will be no post-processing techniques performed by the RTs with the MRL	

Will there be additional requirements needed for an RT to use an MR Linac? What are these requirments?
5. Is the language clear and appropriate? Are there any potential unintended consequences of the current wording?
As per Q4, current wording of Domain 1; Key capability 9 is not appropriate for the MRL.
6. Are there jurisdiction-specific impacts for practitioners, or governments or other
stakeholders that the National Board should be aware of, if these capabilities are adopted?
7. Are there implementation issues the National Board should be aware of?
MR Linac - suggested MR capabilities for radiation therapists;
This is very different from diagnostic imaging so what requirements does an RT need to use this
technology
8. Do you have any other general feedback or comments on the proposed draft revised

Medical Radiation Practice Board of Australia

professional capabilities?