

**From:** Ingram, Lynne (Health)  
**To:** [accreditationstandards.review](#)  
**Subject:** Review- Draft Accreditation Standards: MRP  
**Date:** Friday, 14 September 2018 2:32:40 PM  
**Attachments:** [AHPRA---Consultation---Draft-accreditation-standards-for-the-Medical-Radiation-Practice-Accreditation-Committee.pdf](#)

---

Hi,

I have reviewed and added my comments to the draft Standards for MRP (attached)

I am pleased that the number of Standards has decreased, effectively reducing the amount of repetition & duplication previously within the document.

I think that the information requested in this document that has already been addressed in the TEQSA requirements could still be pared down.

At times details in the Expected Information are a little too prescriptive. Could this be left a little more to the programs innovation of response or when specific documents are required, the Expected Information should just state it. It would be much more transparent to the providers completing the application for accreditation.

I would like to see a specific reference to radiation safety requirements, particularly prior to enrolment.

This is a practical side of the profession that must be addressed in full.

Accreditation assessors must also be aware of and include assessment of the professional practice achievements for safe professional and effective practice beyond the academic requirements captured so far.

It is a very practical job once out in the real world of MRP and not all of that can be captured in the academic style responses that make up the Accreditation assessment. Please don't under represent clinical input to the accreditation assessments.

Thanks for this opportunity to feedback on this process.

Kind regards,  
Lynne Ingram

*Lynne Ingram*  
*QA Project Radiographer*

*Medical Imaging*  
*Flinders Medical Centre*  
*Flinders Drive*  
*Bedford Park*  
*S.A. 5042*

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]