



Response template for providing feedback to public consultation – draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice

This response template is an optional way to provide your response to the public consultation paper for the **Draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice**. Please provide your responses to any of the questions in the corresponding text boxes; you do not need to answer every question if you have no comment.

Making a submission

Please complete this response template and send to medicalradiationconsultation@ahpra.gov.au, using the subject line '*Feedback on draft revised professional capabilities for medical radiation practice*'.

Submissions are due by midday on Friday 26 April 2019.

Stakeholder details

Please provide your details in the following table:

Name:	Katherine Guerrero
Organisation Name:	University of South Australia

Your responses to the preliminary consultation questions

1. Does any content need to be added to any of the documents?
No
2. Does any content need to be amended or removed from any of the documents?
Domain 1B- Capability 1 – Specify Mo-Tc generator Domain 1B – Capability 3 – Key capability made more sense as it was previously written – Implement routine Nuclear Medicine Imaging. It is not necessary to refer to radioisotope examinations as this is assumed in the field of Nuclear Medicine.
3. Do the key capabilities sufficiently describe the threshold level of professional capability required to safely and competently practise as a medical radiation practitioner in a range of contexts and situations?
Yes, for Domain 1B. University of South Australia has submitted a response for other domains.
4. Do the enabling components sufficiently describe the essential and measurable characteristics of threshold professional capability that are necessary for safe and competent practice?
Yes

5. Is the language clear and appropriate? Are there any potential unintended consequences of the current wording?

See above.

6. Are there jurisdiction-specific impacts for practitioners, or governments or other stakeholders that the National Board should be aware of, if these capabilities are adopted?

No

7. Are there implementation issues the National Board should be aware of?

No

8. Do you have any other general feedback or comments on the proposed draft revised professional capabilities?

No