

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, 21 December 2013 9:25 PM  
**To:** Standard Consultation  
**Subject:** Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

## Forwarded conversation

Subject: The english standard  
-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Date: Fri, Dec 20, 2013 at 3:01 PM  
To: [Standard.Consultation@ahpra.gov.au](mailto:Standard.Consultation@ahpra.gov.au)

Dear AHPRA,

Thank you for sending emails to us. In regard to your email last time, our responses are as follows:

First, AHPRA would like to invite new immigrants from the english speaking countries these include: Singapore, Hongkong and Malaysia who have completed 5 years fulltime studies in the countries. Our question is why registration officers and the board meeting discard and waste education in Australia? Instead AHPRA is looking for immigrants whose education is not really in english such as Singapore. The increasingly importance of chinese languages were surpassing english. English is a language of choice. Most people there speak their ethnic languages including hokkien, tiociu and mandarin. I met some students from Singapore and their accent was chinese. One registration officer says a language course is permitted but other officer says is not permitted at the same time. We do not know what to say however we feel this unfair and unjustifiable.

Secondly, we are very concerned regarding new immigrants (student visas) who are passionate to emigrate to Australia through the 5 years full time studies, these include international nursing student at TAFE [REDACTED]. One student claimed she would like emigrate through the 5 years studies to avoid IELTS/OET and would like to receive a sponsorship visa from the government. If they are lucky they only need to pass 6.5/5.5 for IELTS/OET to get a permanent visa.

This situation has put other immigrants (including long term permanent residents and long term Australian citizens) in a grief situation because if they are not lucky they do not have a future. The current english standard aims to improve communication. However to those who are lucky from the Board's meeting are practising with only IELTS 5.5/6.6 at nursing homes in the states across Australia. This sounds weird.

Thirdly, the english tests and the 5 years full time studies have different standard. As for IELTS/OET applicants must achieve almost 90% and applicants must compete with other applicants across Australia. They fail easily. They do not have listening problem at work or at school unless the person to whom she is listening to is a stutter. IELTS/OET use statistical analysis.

As for the 5 years we need only to pass 50%. Students research, mentored and use memory skills to answer questions. Our sorrow is AHPRA still advising lthough they have completed studies in Australia. We are doubtful whether AHPRA believes education in Australia.

Fourthly, not everyone whose name published in the public register has very good skills. For examples: our colleagues [REDACTED] has failed IELTS 3x at University of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] failed IELTS as well so she was studying at [REDACTED] College to substitute the english exams. They received the license because they had done good studies.

One day I was working with an international Japanese student. His english was awful. Besides, he was stammering

too.He could not understand the correct usage of grammar (present--past, present perfect---past perfect). I gave him 4 for his speaking and others were 5 for academic module. He received his license and others were advised. This is unfair.Although I could not understand his speaking I still got the meaning of his english. That is english.

Lastly, not everyone who is completing the 5 years full time studies does not have skills. Working and studying full time are suitable to those locals and australian citizens. They can feed their family and repay their university. They are not student visas hence they are not obliged the immigration law (IELTS/OET). A lot of them are comfortable with the 5 years studies.

In conclusion, we are all the same in the planet. We have limitations. We request that AHPRA is fair when assessing the 5 years. They contain hard work and sacrifice from our aged parents. The nursing licensure is the only future we have. Thank you for your attention.

Kind regard's,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.