Your details
Name:
Organisation (if applicable):
Are you making a submission as?
☐ An organisation
X An individual medical practitioner
☐ Other registered health practitioner, please specify:
□ Consumer/patient
☐ Other, please specify:
□ Prefer not to say
Do you give permission to publish your submission?
☐ Yes, with my name
X Yes, without my name
□ No, do not publish my submission

## Feedback on the Consultation regulation impact statement

The Medical Board of Australia is consulting on three options to ensure late career doctors are able to keep providing safe care to their patients.

The details of the options for consideration are contained in the <u>consultation regulation impact</u> statement.

1. Should all registered late career doctors (except those with non-practising registration) be required to have either a health check or fitness to practice assessment?

If not, on what evidence do you base your views?

No.

I am a 68 year old experienced family physician certified by the American Board of Family Medicine and a fellow of the RACGP, practicing in California as well as in Australia. Registration regulations in Australia have become more and more complicated, compared to the US, and the expenses involved are excessive. It is costing me over \$ 4500 a year (incl indemnity insurance for total private billing under 25k) just to be able to treat patients in Australia, easily twice as much as in California.

Experience is of huge benefit in any occupation. Having diagnosed and treated tens of thousands of patients over many decades delivers insights and skills that medical schools and training programs cannot provide.

The existing continuous professional development requirements are sufficient to ensure that physicians meet a minimum standard of competence. I believe it is unlikely that a cognitive impaired doctor would fall through the cracks.

2. If a health check or fitness to practise assessment is introduced for late career doctors, should the check commence at 70 years of age or another age?

What's next? Should all physicians be random drug tested regularly? Or be monitored to ensure they sleep enough hours to satisfy AHPRA?

3.		of the following options do you agree will provide the best model? Which part odel do you agree/not agree with and on what evidence do you base your
	Option 1	Rely on existing guidance, including Good medical practice: a code of conduct for doctors in Australia (Status quo).
	Option 2	Require a detailed health assessment of the 'fitness to practise' of doctors aged 70 years and older every three years for doctors from the age of 70 and annually for doctors from the age of 80.
		These health assessments are undertaken by a specialist occupational and environmental physician and include an independent clinical assessment of the current and future capacity of the doctor to practise in their particular area of medicine.
	Option 3	Require general health checks for late career doctors aged 70 years and older every three years for doctors from the age of 70 and annually for doctors from the age of 80.
		The health check would be conducted by the late career doctor's regular GP, or other registered doctor when this is more appropriate, with some elements of the check able to be conducted by other health practitioners with relevant skills, e.g., hearing, vision, height, weight, blood pressure, etc.
Ор	tion 1	
4.	registration cognitive	I all registered late career doctors (except those with non-practising on) have a cognitive function screening that establishes a baseline for ongoing assessment?  y not? On what evidence do you base your views?
	,	
No	!	

late career doctor and their assessing/treating doctor/s and not shared with the Boundard Note: A late career doctor would need to declare in their annual registration renew they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally a their ability to practise medicine safely.  No health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.	late career doctor and their assessing/treating doctor/s and not shared with the Bo Note: A late career doctor would need to declare in their annual registration renew they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally a their ability to practise medicine safely.  No health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.  6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	Note: A late career doctor would need to declare in their annual registration renew they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally a their ability to practise medicine safely.  No health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.  6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	Note: A late career doctor would need to declare in their annual registration renew they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally a their ability to practise medicine safely.  No health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.  6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitnepractice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?		
No health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.  6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally at their ability to practise medicine safely.  No health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.  6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally at their ability to practise medicine safely.  No health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.  6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally at their ability to practise medicine safely.  No health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.  6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	5.	Should health checks/fitness to practice assessments be confidential between the late career doctor and their assessing/treating doctor/s and not shared with the Bo
6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	6. Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitne practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?		they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally as
practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	No	health assessment at all unless reasonable suspicion of impairment reported.
practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?	practice assessments?  If yes, what should that role be?		
If yes, what should that role be?	If yes, what should that role be?	If yes, what should that role be?	If yes, what should that role be?	6.	
The board should not get involved at all in age discrimination against seasoned physicians.	The board should not get involved at all in age discrimination against seasoned physicians.	The board should not get involved at all in age discrimination against seasoned physicians.	The board should not get involved at all in age discrimination against seasoned physicians.		
				The	board should not get involved at all in age discrimination against seasoned physicians.

## Feedback on draft Registration standard: Health checks for late career doctors

This section asks for feedback on the Board's proposed registration standard: Health checks for late career doctors.

The Board has developed a draft Registration standard: health checks for late career doctors that would support option three. The draft registration standard is on page 68 of the CRIS.

7.1. Is the content and structure of the draft Registration standard: health checks for late career doctors helpful, clear, relevant, and workable?
7.2. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft registration standard?
7.3. Do you have any other comments on the draft registration standard?
If mandatory health checks for older physician's get implemented, I sure will quit practicing medicine in Australia. And I'm certain that many if not most of my seasoned colleagues will do the same.
If the board wants to encourage doctors to work in general practice, it should reflect on its actions.  I believe practicing as a GP in Australia needs to made easier, and the excessive regulations need to be reduced and simplified rather than being extended.

## **Draft supporting documents and resources**

This section asks for feedback on the draft documents and resources developed to support Option three - the health check model.

8.		Board has developed draft supporting documents and resources (page 72 or the CRIS). The rials are:
	C-1	Pre-consultation questionnaire that late career doctors would complete before their health check
	C-2	Health check examination guide – to be used by the examining/assessing/treating doctors during the health check
	C-3	Guidance for screening of cognitive function in late career doctors
	C-4	Health check confirmation certificate
	C-5	Flowchart identifying the stages of the health check.
	The	materials are on page 72 of the CRIS.
8.1.		the proposed supporting documents and resources (Appendix C-1 to C-5) clear relevant?
8.2.	Wha	t changes would improve them?
8.3.	Is th	e information required in the medical history (C-1) appropriate?