

Respondent

< 11 Anonymous >

06:36
Time to complete

1. Name *

RICHARD PRINCE

2. Organisation

-

3. Email address *

[REDACTED]

4. The National Boards and Ahpra publish submissions at their discretion. We generally publish submissions on our websites to encourage discussion and inform the community and stakeholders. Please advise us if you do not want your submission published. *

- Submission can be published
- Submission NOT to be published

5. Do the draft revised regulatory principles reflect the policy directions issued by CoAG Health Council? If not, how could the principles be improved?

[REDACTED]

6. Do the draft revised regulatory principles support Ahpra and the National Boards regulatory decision-making? If not, how could they be improved?

7. Is the content of the draft revised regulatory principles helpful, clear and relevant?

8. Is there any content that needs to be changed, added or deleted in the draft revised regulatory principles?

Is there any content that needs to be changed, added or deleted in the draft revised regulatory principles? The current wording does not deal state that dealings with health practitioners should be respectful. Why not? Many of us hear that the procedures are slow and treat individuals as though they are units not highly qualified individuals worthy of respect until identified otherwise.

9. Please add any other comments or suggestions for the draft revised regulatory principles.