Your details				
Name:				
Organisation (if applicable):				
Are you making a submission as?				
 □ An organisation ⋈ An individual medical practitioner □ Other registered health practitioner, please specify: □ Consumer/patient □ Other, please specify: □ Prefer not to say 				
Do you give permission to publish your submission?				
☐ Yes, with my name☒ Yes, without my name☐ No, do not publish my submission				

Feedback on the Consultation regulation impact statement

The Medical Board of Australia is consulting on three options to ensure late career doctors are able to keep providing safe care to their patients.

The details of the options for consideration are contained in the <u>consultation regulation impact</u> <u>statement</u>.

1. Should all registered late career doctors (except those with non-practising registration) be required to have either a health check or fitness to practice assessment?					
If not, on what evidence do you base your views?					
Yes					
2. If a health check or fitness to practise assessment is introduced for late career doctors, should the check commence at 70 years of age or another age?					
70 years is reasonable					

3.	3. Which of the following options do you agree will provide the best model? Which part of each model do you agree/not agree with and on what evidence do you base your views?				
	Option 1	Rely on existing guidance, including Good medical practice: a code of conduct for doctors in Australia (Status quo).			
	Option 2	Require a detailed health assessment of the 'fitness to practise' of doctors aged 70 years and older every three years for doctors from the age of 70 and annually for doctors from the age of 80.			
		These health assessments are undertaken by a specialist occupational and environmental physician and include an independent clinical assessment of the current and future capacity of the doctor to practise in their particular area of medicine.			
	Option 3	Require general health checks for late career doctors aged 70 years and older every three years for doctors from the age of 70 and annually for doctors from the age of 80.			
		The health check would be conducted by the late career doctor's regular GP, or other registered doctor when this is more appropriate, with some elements of the check able to be conducted by other health practitioners with relevant skills, e.g., hearing, vision, height, weight, blood pressure, etc.			
Ор	tion 2				
4.		I registered late career doctors (except those with non-practising registration) egnitive function screening that establishes a baseline for ongoing cognitive ent?			
	If not, wh	y not? On what evidence do you base your views?			
Yes – cognitive function is essential for safe medical practice and can only really be assessed objectively.					

5.	Should health checks/fitness to practice assessments be confidential between the late career doctor and their assessing/treating doctor/s and not shared with the Board? Note: A late career doctor would need to declare in their annual registration renewal that they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment and, as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally affect their ability to practise medicine safely.
Ye	s – absolutely!
6.	Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitness to practice assessments? If yes, what should that role be?
No	!

Feedback on draft Registration standard: Health checks for late career doctors

This section asks for feedback on the Board's proposed registration standard: Health checks for late career doctors.

The Board has developed a draft Registration standard: health checks for late career doctors that would support option three. The draft registration standard is on page 68 of the CRIS.

7.1. Is the content and structure of the draft Registration standard: health checks for late career doctors helpful, clear, relevant, and workable?
It is relevant, unclear and we wait to see if it is workable.
7.2. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft registration standard?
No

7.3. Do you have any other comments on the draft registration standard?

Not on the standard itself but on the process as a whole:

Many doctors over 70 will 'spit the dummy' over this requirement and retire. GPs are sick of the very high level of Government regulation of medical practice. We will lose a significant number of GPs through this process. While retirement may be good for these doctors, it will not help their patients who will struggle to find an alternative doctor. If you are going to decapitate the older GP workforce then Government also needs to put into place measures that will boost the number of younger GPs. Currently young doctors are disinterested in General Practice because of lack of renumeration under Medicare and the excessive burden of paperwork in General Practice. Overall, this measure will not improve the health care of the people of Australia because it will make GPs even scarcer and hospital outpatient and emergency departments even busier. Instead of getting rid of older doctors you should be supporting them!

Draft supporting documents and resources

This section asks for feedback on the draft documents and resources developed to support Option three - the health check model.

three - the health check model.					
8.	The Board has developed draft supporting documents and resources (page 72 or the CRIS). The materials are:				
	C-1	Pre-consultation questionnaire that late career doctors would complete before their health check			
	C-2	Health check examination guide – to be used by the examining/assessing/treating doctors during the health check			
	C-3	Guidance for screening of cognitive function in late career doctors			
	C-4	Health check confirmation certificate			
	C-5	Flowchart identifying the stages of the health check.			
	The	materials are on page 72 of the CRIS.			
8.		the proposed supporting documents and resources (Appendix C-1 to C-5) clear relevant?			
Ιh	ave no	ot read these			
8.2. What changes would improve them?					
Ιh	ave no	ot read these			
8.3	3. Is t	he information required in the medical history (C-1) appropriate?			
Ιh	ave no	ot read these			
1					

8.4. Are the proposed examinations and tools listed in the examination guide (C-2) appropriate?		
I have not read these		
8.5. Are there other resources needed to support the health checks?		
I have not read these		