

Respondent



13

Anonymous



07:36
Time to complete



1. Name *

Michelle Withers

2. Organisation

3. Email address *

[Redacted]

4. The National Boards and Ahpra publish submissions at their discretion. We generally publish submissions on our websites to encourage discussion and inform the community and stakeholders. Please advise us if you do not want your submission published. *

- Submission can be published
- Submission NOT to be published

5. Do the draft revised regulatory principles reflect the policy directions issued by CoAG Health Council? If not, how could the principles be improved?

They appear to do so.

6. Do the draft revised regulatory principles support Ahpra and the National Boards regulatory decision-making? If not, how could they be improved?

They appear to do so.

7. Is the content of the draft revised regulatory principles helpful, clear and relevant?

Mostly.

8. Is there any content that needs to be changed, added or deleted in the draft revised regulatory principles?

The phrase "Our responses are designed to not punish practitioners" would probably read better as "Our responses are not designed to punish practitioners".

9. Please add any other comments or suggestions for the draft revised regulatory principles.

I welcome the increased protection for the public that is supported by the policy directions and the regulatory principles. However, these are not balanced by any statement of protection for practitioners. It would be useful to have a bullet point in principle 6 about ensuring that practitioners are treated fairly by the process.