

From: Ian CarrBoyd [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2024 5:20 PM
To: medboardconsultation

Subject: Public Consultation – Health checks for late career doctors

What is the magnitude of this problem?

Please provide figures and examples of adverse outcomes.

kind regards

--

Ian

Dr Ian Carr-Boyd

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Your details
Name: Dr Ian Carr-Boyd
Organisation (if applicable):
Are you making a submission as?
An organisation
<u>An individual medical practitioner</u>
Other registered health practitioner, please specify:
Consumer/patient
Other, please specify:
Prefer not to say
Do you give permission to publish your submission?
<u>Yes, with my name</u>
Yes, without my name
No, do not publish my submission

The Medical Board of Australia is consulting on three options to ensure late career doctors are able to keep providing safe care to their patients.

The details of the options for consideration are contained in the [consultation regulation impact statement](#).

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should all registered late career doctors (except those with non-practising registration) be required to have either a health check or fitness to practice assessment? <p>If not, on what evidence do you base your views?</p>
<p>Maybe. I would like to see absolute figures for numbers of practitioner complaints, including reasons, allowing that a majority(?) of complaints are of a minor nature, even frivolous.</p> <p>I think, if there is a real desire to salvage what is left of general practice, the need lies not so much in 'what's wrong with doctors' but a serious and fearless overview of the entire health system. This includes the issue of personal responsibility vs increasing litigation, and the hopelessly inadequate, and worsening, support of (general practice) from Medicare.</p> <p>My "evidence" is 40+ years of clinical practice, working with GPs of all ages, & life experience.</p>

- If a health check or fitness to practise assessment is introduced for late career doctors, should the check commence at 70 years of age or another age?

70 is the new 50.

Perhaps a little later, say 75 or 80, again based on real numbers of significant complaints, as discussed above.

- Which of the following options do you agree will provide the best model? Which part of each model do you agree/not agree with and on what evidence do you base your views?

Option 1 Rely on existing guidance, including Good medical practice: a code of conduct for doctors in Australia (Status quo).

Option 2 Require a detailed health assessment of the 'fitness to practise' of doctors aged 70 years and older every three years for doctors from the age of 70 and annually for doctors from the age of 80.

These health assessments are undertaken by a specialist occupational and environmental physician and include an independent clinical assessment of the current and future capacity of the doctor to practise in their particular area of medicine.

Option 3 Require general health checks for late career doctors aged 70 years and older every three years for doctors from the age of 70 and annually for doctors from the age of 80.

The health check would be conducted by the late career doctor's regular GP, or other registered doctor when this is more appropriate, with some elements of the check able to be conducted by other health practitioners with relevant skills, e.g., hearing, vision, height, weight, blood pressure, etc.

With the abovementioned considerations, I favour & support Option 3.

My "evidence" is 40+ years of clinical practice, working with GPs of all ages, & life experience.

- Should all registered late career doctors (except those with non-practising registration) have a cognitive function screening that establishes a baseline for ongoing cognitive assessment?

If not, why not? On what evidence do you base your views?

NO. Becoming onerous. An astute GP would perceive significant cognitive issues & test / screen if necessary.

My "evidence" is 40+ years of clinical practice, working with GPs of all ages, & life experience.

- **Should health checks/fitness to practice assessments be confidential between the late career doctor and their assessing/treating doctor/s and not shared with the Board?**

Note: A late career doctor would need to declare in their annual registration renewal that they have completed the appropriate health check/fitness to practice assessment and, as they do now, declare whether they have an impairment that may detrimentally affect their ability to practise medicine safely.

Very few patients, who are not already under review, attend for Cognitive assessments unless concerns already raised, let alone annually.

- **Do you think the Board should have a more active role in the health checks/fitness to practice assessments?**

If yes, what should that role be?

No

This section asks for feedback on the Board's proposed registration standard: Health checks for late career doctors.

The Board has developed a draft Registration standard: health checks for late career doctors that would support option three. The draft registration standard is on page 68 of the CRIS.

• Is the content and structure of the draft Registration standard: health checks for late career doctors helpful, clear, relevant, and workable?
Yes

• Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft registration standard?
No

• Do you have any other comments on the draft registration standard?
Nil to add

This section asks for feedback on the draft documents and resources developed to support Option three - the health check model.

- The Board has developed draft supporting documents and resources (page 72 of the CRIS). The materials are:
 - C-1 Pre-consultation questionnaire that late career doctors would complete before their health check
 - C-2 Health check examination guide – to be used by the examining/assessing/treating doctors during the health check

C-3 Guidance for screening of cognitive function in late career doctors

C-4 Health check confirmation certificate

C-5 Flowchart identifying the stages of the health check.

The materials are on page 72 of the CRIS.

- **Are the proposed supporting documents and resources (Appendix C-1 to C-5) clear and relevant?**

Yes, not just for GPs as 'patients' , good resource for GPs seeing / treating their own patients

- **What changes would improve them?**

Very comprehensive
No changes

- **Is the information required in the medical history (C-1) appropriate?**

Yes, although it indicates a standard of excellence, if not perfection, that is unrealistic in usual GP setting.

- **Are the proposed examinations and tools listed in the examination guide (C-2) appropriate?**

Yes, although it indicates a standard of excellence, if not perfection, that is unrealistic in usual GP setting, as above

- **Are there other resources needed to support the health checks?**

Nil to add