Preliminary consultation on draft registration standards

March 2018

Responses to consultation questions

Please provide your confidential feedback as a word document (not PDF) by email to [atsihpboardconsultation@ahpra.gov.au](mailto:atsihpboardconsultation@ahpra.gov.au) by close of business on Friday, 4th May 2018.

Stakeholder Details

*If you wish to include background information about your organisation please provide this as a separate word document (not PDF).*

|  |
| --- |
| **Organisation name** |
|  |
| **Contact information**  *(please include contact person’s name and email address)* |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Registration standard: Professional indemnity insurance arrangements (PII)**  *Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes to the right of the question* | |
| 1. From your perspective, how is the current PII arrangements registration standard working? |  |
| 1. Is the content and structure of the draft revised PII arrangements registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current standard? |  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the revised draft PII arrangements registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the revised draft PII arrangements registration standard? |  |
| 1. It is proposed that the draft revised PII arrangements registration standard is reviewed every five years or earlier if required, as the content is likely to be reasonably settled and stable after this review. Is this reasonable? |  |
| 1. Is there anything else the National Board should take into account in its review of the PII arrangements registration standard, such as impacts on workforce or access to health services? |  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the revised draft PII arrangements registration standard? |  |
| **Registration standard: Continuing professional development (CPD)**  *Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes to the right of the question* | |
| 1. From your perspective, how is the current CPD registration standard working? |  |
| 1. Is the content and structure of the draft revised CPD registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current standard? |  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the revised draft CPD registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the revised draft CPD registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is the content and structure of the draft CPD guidelines helpful, clear and is it a useful addition to the draft revised CPD registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft CPD guidelines? |  |
| 1. Is there additional clarification from the draft revised CPD registration standard that needs to be added to the draft CPD guidelines? |  |
| 1. Does including the statement ‘The Board does not endorse/accredit CPD providers or activities but expects practitioners to select CPD activities that are consistent with the ethical and professional standards set out by the Board’ add clarity to the CPD guidelines? |  |
| 1. Are there any other ways that the Board can support practitioners to best engage in CPD? |  |
| 1. Would it be helpful for the Board to recommend topics for CPD from time to time in its newsletter? (for example, CPD might be recommended on record keeping if this issue arises regularly in notifications or audit data) |  |
| 1. Is there anything else the National Board should take into account in its review of the CPD registration standard and guidelines, such as impacts on workforce or access to health services? |  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the revised draft CPD registration standard and guidelines? |  |
| **Registration standard: Recency of practice (RoP)**  *Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes to the right of the question* | |
| 1. From your perspective, how is the current recency of practice registration standard working |  |
| 1. Do you have feedback about the proposal to introduce a minimum of 450 practice hours in the previous 3 years or 150 practice hours in the previous 12 months to meet recency of practice requirements? |  |
| 1. Is the content and structure of the draft revised recency of practice registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current standard? |  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the revised recency of practice draft registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the revised draft recency of practice registration standard? |  |
| 1. It is proposed that the draft revised recency of practice standard is reviewed every five years or earlier if required. Is this reasonable? |  |
| 1. Is there anything else the National Board should take into account in its review of the recency of practice registration standard, such as impacts on workforce or access to health services? |  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the revised registration draft standard? |  |
| **Registration standard: English language skills(ELS)**  *Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes to the right of the question* | |
| 1. From your perspective, how is the current English language skills registration standard working? |  |
| 1. Is the content and structure of the draft revised English language skills registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current standard? |  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the revised draft English language skills registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the revised draft English language skills registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there anything else the National Board should take into account in its review of English language skills registration standard, such as impacts on workforce or access to health services? |  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the revised draft English language skills registration standard? |  |
| **Registration standard: Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander**  *Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes to the right of the question* | |
| 1. From your perspective, how is the current Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander registration standard working? |  |
| 1. Is the content and structure of the draft revised Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the revised draft Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the revised draft Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander registration standard? |  |
| 1. Is there anything else the National Board should take into account in its review of the Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander registration standard, such as impacts on workforce or access to health services? |  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the revised draft Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander registration standard? |  |