From:	
Sent:	Friday, 22 November 2013 12:06 AM
То:	Standard Consultation
Subject:	Consultation -Registration Standards

To whom it may concern.

I strongly agree with AHPRA with the proposal of accepting test scores that are "very close to, but slightly below" current pass requirements

Also in regards to Health Professional Boards in Australia should begin passing applicants with multiple settings instead of a current highly subjective manual method.

## It is truly Unjust, Biased and in breach human rights when applicant sat for OET been told that

- Your age may not be in favour to your test when they know you want to obtain PR with this English test
- There is a OET quota limit for passing applicants
- Is a revenue game for these company that runs them.
- There are no support, review or feedback post OET test.
- So when I didn't obtain the target result on 1 out of 4 test, there are no way to find out what went wrong even though I sat for OET for 5 times.
- These company charges for queries and stated that the OET result are non negotiable and remark request will not be entertain.

National Boards should definitely recognised countries like Malaysia and Singapore and exempt applicants from those countries. These countries are multi-racial and English is a Mandatory and core subject at every level of education. These country has English words signs every where and English spoken movies that does not have dub voices like other country in Asia.

In my opinion/experience, only a minority of South African are good English speaker that can be exempted but definitely not the whole country. Hence, South African exemption to English test should be phase out over time

There are also gap in manual marking system that need to be review. For example a very young course mate of mine doesn't speak really well but I was surprised that he passed although he admit not sure how or why.

I strongly hope this review will move the slider to Just and Unbiased outcome.

Yours truly,